

AFFIDAVIT

1. 2

resident of


40

presently'

Working as Income Tax Inspector, do hereby solemnly affirm and state as under:

1. Background

1. That I am the deponent herein and am fully conversant with the facts and circumstances of the case.



- That I am facing disciplinary proceedings under the Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1965 ("CCS (CCA) Rules") initiated by the Disciplinary Authority.

2. Unequal Treatment & Violation of Articles 14 & 15.

1. That in a similar case of _____ IRS (Addl. Commissioner of Income Tax), arising from identical facts and under identical CCS (CCA) Rules, all original documents in Gujarati were translated into English by a qualified notary, and a certificate of "true and complete translation" was duly issued with the translator's name and qualification., the sample document available to me is a true copy of the same and originating from the Departmental record only

the same is marked as Annexure A and attached with my representation which is given along with this affidavit.

2. That in my case, which also involves original Gujarati documents, no such translator's certificate is available on record. It is not known who translated the documents, what qualifications such person held, and whether the translation is complete and correct.

3. That despite this, the same Directorate of Vigilance under the C.B.D.T. and the same Department's Disciplinary Authority are proceeding against me. This amounts to **denial of equal protection of laws** and **discrimination on the ground of caste**, as

belongs to a high caste Hindu, whereas I belong to the Other Backward Classes (OBC) Hindu and at disadvantage on ground of cast and facing a systematic cast based bias prevalent in Directorate of Vigilance working under C.B.D.T.

3. Limitation Bar

1. That the proceedings are barred by the 18-month limitation prescribed for completion of departmental enquiries under the applicable CCS (CCA) Rules and DoPT instructions.



2. That the purported extension letter of the Disciplinary Authority regarding the appointment of Inquiry Officer contains an admission of delay beyond the prescribed time limit.

4. Invalid Extension of term of Inquiry Officer

1. That the original appointment of the Inquiry Officer (IO) was made by the Disciplinary Authority. However, subsequent orders relating to the IO have not been signed by the Disciplinary Authority himself.
2. That under the CCS (CCA) Rules, the power to appoint an IO is vested exclusively in the Disciplinary Authority and is not delegable. Therefore, these subsequent orders are void ab initio.

5. Translation Defect Goes to Root of Proceedings

1. That in the absence of a duly certified, qualified translation of documents relied upon in the charge sheet, I am unable to defend myself properly.
2. That this defect vitiates the enquiry at its root and renders the proceedings unsustainable.

6. Prayer

1. In view of the above facts and circumstances, I respectfully pray that the ongoing CCS (CCA) Rule proceedings against me be dropped



forthwith as being discriminatory, time-barred, procedurally invalid,
and vitiated due to fatal defects in the record.



Verification



I, the above-named deponent, do hereby verify that the contents of this
affidavit are true and correct to my knowledge and belief and nothing
material has been concealed therefrom.

Verified at Vapi on this 20th day of August 2025.

Seal Signature of Notary Public with date



Solemnly Affirmed Before Me By Subj/Deponent


Of Vapi Whome I Know Personally

TULSIDAS R. MACHHI
Advocate & Notary Public
Umbergaon Taluka,
Valsad District, Gujarat State
Government Of India

Book No. 02
Page No. 143
Serial No. 3287
Date 28/08/25

My Commission will Expire
on dt. 10/01/2030





भारत सरकार
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

જીતેન્દ્ર કમાર

3/1981



આધાર-સામાન્ય માણસનો અધિકાર